

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,787	11/19/2003	Jesse D. Crum	WK/2003-14/US	6153
7590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER		
WARD KRAFT, INC.			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
P.O. BOX 938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT SCOTT, KS 66701			1774	
		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	10/716,787	CRUM, JESSE D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Camie S. Thompson	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprended for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amen	dment filed January 25, 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·		•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-14,16-18,20,22 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6,7,15 and 19</u> is/are objected to.	. · <u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		761617677611177767621				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	•	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/716,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed January 25, 2006 have been acknowledged.

- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1, 10, 17, 24 and 29.
- 3. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 8-9.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-5, 10-11, 13-15, 17 and 19-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spector, U.S. Patent Number 5,951,057 is withdrawn due to applicant's amended claims 1, 10, 17, 24 and 29.
- 5. The rejection of claims 24-31 under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujita et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,217,791 is withdrawn due to applicant's amended claim 24.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1, 6-9, 12, 16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spector, U.S. Patent Number 5,951,057 in view of Young et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,079,025 is withdrawn due to applicant's amended claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 10-14, 16-18, 20, 22 and 24-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-189179.

Page 3

The Japanese reference discloses a coated paper for printing that has a high printing gloss wherein the coating comprises an acetylated starch as an adhesive that is present in an amount of 3-12% by weight. Paragraph 0010 discloses that the starch is characterized by acetylation tapioca. Paragraph 0025 of the reference discloses that the substrate is a stencil paper. The reference does not disclose the thickness of the coating. The thickness of the coating affects the gloss and the aesthetics of the coated paper. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a high gloss coating in a thickness ranging from 0.001 mils to 7 mils in order to have a printed product that is aestethically and texturally distinct.

9. Claims 6-7, 15, 19, 21 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not provide for the recited coated printed or imaged product, further including the starch includes high or low amylase corn starch and the textural, tactile or aesthetic characteristics may be selected from a group including animate, inanimate objects, geometric shapes, alpha character, numeric characters and combinations thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/716,787

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U.1774 3/31/06